

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8  
9 PAUL LOPEZ,

10 Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:19-cv-00098-RCJ-WGC

11 vs.

**ORDER**

12 DENNIS A. HOMAN, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

14  
15 Defendants moved for summary judgment on some but not all of Plaintiff causes of action.

16 (ECF No. 20.) In a report and recommendation, the Magistrate Judge recommended granting this  
17 motion. (ECF No. 25.) The Court ordered that the report and recommendation was adopted and  
18 accepted in full. (ECF No. 27.) Due to a clerical error, the Court ordered the case closed and entered  
19 judgment. (ECF Nos. 27, 28.) Plaintiff has moved for reconsideration pointing to this oversight,  
20 (ECF No. 29), and Defendants do not oppose the motion, (ECF No. 30). A court may reconsider  
21 the entry of judgment based upon “mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect.” Fed. R.  
22 Civ. P. 60(b)(1). The Court therefore orders the judgment, (ECF No. 28), be stricken and that the  
23 case is reopened.

24 ///

## CONCLUSION

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 29) is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Judgment (ECF No. 28) is STRICKEN.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the case is REOPENED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated February 4, 2021.

ROBERT C. JONES  
United States District Judge